## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	E-FILING
HUGO SLUIMER	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C 081220 SI
V. VERITY, INC., et al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s	S)/
Counsel report that they ha following stipulation pursuant to C	ve met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in	the following ADR process:
<del>_</del>	ration (ADR L.R. 4) nation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) nation (ENE)
appreciably more likely to meet the ADR phone conference and may n	early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is eir needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
<b>Private Process:</b> Private ADR (pleas	re identify process and provider)
The parties agree to hold the ADR the presumptive dea	session by: adline (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order
	o an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)
✓ other requested dea	dline TBD on or before June 6, 2008 CMC
Dated: May 15, 2008	/s/ William Reilly Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: May 15, 2008	/s/ Mary Glarum Attorney for Defendants

Case 3:08-cv-01220-SI Document 13 Filed 05/26/2008 Page 2 of 2

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

Dated:

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Forly Neutral Evaluation (F.

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

✓ Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order.

✓ other TBD on or before CMC

IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	Maan

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE